**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC**

**WEDNESDAY, THE 28TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA 1929**

**WP(C).No. 31368 of 2007(H)**
**----------**

**PETITIONER:**
**----------**

**SALOMY ELIAS, W/O.ELIAS,**
**NAMBIRATHAPARRAYIL HOUSE,**
**MAIKKAD P.O., ANGAMALY.**

**BY ADV. SRI.G.PRABHAKARAN**

**RESPONDENT:**
**----------**

**THE SECRETARY,**
**REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY/REGIONAL**
**TRANSPORT OFFICER, ERNAKULAM.**

**BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. P.N. SANTHOSH**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 28/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

*KSS*

**WPC.NO.31368/2007 H**

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT:**

**EXT.P1: COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DTD. 19/07/2007 GRANTING THE PERMIT.**

**/TRUE COPY/**

**P.A.TO JUDGE**

*KSS*

**ANTONY DOMINIC, J.**

**==========**
**W.P.(C) NO.31368 OF 2007 H**
**==========**

**Dated this the 28th day of November, 2007**

**J U D G M E N T**

The complaint in this writ petition is that though variation of regular permit was granted subject to settlement of timing, timing has not been settled yet. Delay in this regard is what is complained of.

2. Learned Government Pleader on instructions submits that delay is on account of the pendency of large number of cases and steps are being taken to settle the timings of the petitioner also, but however, it can be done only on the basis of priority. I see no justification to give any over riding preference to the petitioner.

In view of this, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction that following the priority, timing of the petitioner's vehicle shall be settled.

**ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE**

Rp